Environmental Sciences Europe

RESEARCH

Open Access

General toxicity and genotoxicity studies of a new scale inhibitor for seawater desalination

Lian Duan[1†], Yimin Li[1†], Wen Gu[1], Chao Wang[1], Ying Shi[1], Hongbin Yang[2], Mengmeng Wang[1], Yuehan Long[1], Song Tang[1], Jian Kong[1], Shaoping Zhang[1], Lixia Zhang[1], Lei Wei[2], Chong Wang[1*] and Kai Lu[3*]

Abstract

Maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor is a new domestic seawater desalination scale inhibitor. This study tested the acute oral toxicity, sub-chronic toxicity and genotoxicity of this new inhibitor. The LD_{50} obtained from the acute oral toxicity test was 6810 and 9260 mg/kg·BW for male and female rats, as well as 1/5, 1/10 and 1/20 LD_{50} were as the dose for sub-chronic toxicity test. It showed the weight of male rats with high dose was significantly lower than the control group during the exposure period ($p < 0.05$), and the food consumption in the first 4 weeks was lower than the control group ($p_{\_week1} = 0.0261$, $p_{\_week4} = 0.00222$). The blood biochemical results showed the UREA in the medium- and high-dose groups were significantly higher than the control group ($p_{\_female\ medium} = 0.0047$, $p_{\_high} = 0.0037$; $p_{\_male\ medium} = 0.0026$, $p_{\_high} < 0.001$), and increased as a dose dependence. Based on UREA results, the NOAEL and LOAEL were 1/20 LD_{50} and 1/10 LD_{50}, respectively (males: 340.5 and 681 mg/kg·BW, females: 436 and 926 mg/kg·BW). Comet assay in vitro and Mammalian Erythrocyte Micronucleus Test were jointly to judge genotoxicity. This inhibitor did not cause chromosome aberrations in mouse bone marrow cells. However, the tail moment of CHO cell in all groups ($p < 0.01$) and the DNA% in tail in the 1/4 IC_{50} and IC_{50} groups were higher than the negative control ($p < 0.001$) in comet assay, suggesting the potential DNA damage in CHO cell. The oral LD_{50} and the NOAEL and LOAEL obtained in this study provides a theoretical basis for further toxicity research and risk assessment.

Keywords Seawater desalination, Scale inhibitor, Acute oral toxicity, Subchronic oral toxicity, Genotoxicity

[†]Lian Duan and Yimin Li contributed equally to the work

*Correspondence:
Chong Wang
wangchong@nieh.chinacdc.cn
Kai Lu
lukai@chinacdc.cn
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Graphical Abstract



Introduction

The reduction in the global availability of freshwater resources is present and unavoidable. Seawater desalination technology is an effective measure to overcome the shortage of water resources and constitutes a type of sustainable development. There are 19,744 desalination plants in the world, with a desalination capacity of approximately 99.7 million m^3/d. Data from the International Desalination Association show that these plants, located in 150 countries, are continuously providing water for 300 million people [8]. As a new type of water resource in coastal areas, the development and utilization of seawater desalination has gradually attracted the attention of the central and local governments in China. *Opinions on Accelerating the Development of the Seawater Desalination Industry* was issued by the General Office of the State Council in 2012 [11]. Since 2020, the central and local governments have issued several policies and standards on desalination, [10, 25–28]. In June 2021, the *Action Plan for the Development of Seawater Desalination Utilization (2021–2025)* was jointly issued by the National Development and Reform Commission and the Ministry of Natural Resources. The development goals for seawater desalination and related policies have been put forward, proposing seawater desalination of more than 2.9 million t/d by 2025 [18]. With an increasing focus on the seawater desalination industry, the process technology of seawater desalination has been rapidly progressing. Water with a high salt concentration is produced in the process of seawater desalination, and this water inevitably causes scaling, foam and other problems that affect the normal operation of machines and reduces their service life. Therefore, it is necessary to add treatment agents to the seawater desalination equipment to ensure its stable operation. Seawater desalination treatment agents include scale inhibitors, defoamers, bactericides and flocculants. The role of scale inhibitors is to prevent the deposition of insoluble salts, inhibit the buildup of dirt and prevent scale from forming on the surface of equipment. Scale inhibitors have been widely used in seawater desalination enterprises, because they provide considerable economic and social benefits.

The development of water treatment chemicals in developed countries started early in the 1930s and has progressed rapidly since the 1980s. Several large manufacturers of scale inhibitors have controlled the international market for these chemicals [17]. In China, water treatment agents have been developed since the 1970s with the introduction of modern water treatment technology, and research in this field has rapidly progressed in recent years [14]. However, the development of seawater desalination treatment agents in China cannot meet the needs of the seawater desalination industry at

present, and the possible human health effects of seawater desalination treatment agents have not been assessed [36]. Polyphosphate is a commonly used scale inhibitor, such as maleic acid polymer, which has a wide range of applications. People can be exposed to polyphosphate in the water supply system, heating system, air conditioning cooling water. Cheng et al. [4] showed that the P content of raw water in 16 sampling sites increased after polyphosphate treatment, and it was estimated that the residents in the study sites would consume P for 1.5 mg/person/day from tap water. The chemical monomers added in water treatment agents reported at home and abroad often have certain biological toxicity. In vitro CHO/HGPRT assay, acrylic acid caused chromosome aberration in CHO cells, increases in neutrophil influx, increase of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) in lung tissue, release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity, and cause lung tissue fibrosis, but there is no obvious genotoxicity [15, 30]. Maleic acid has been reported to induce the nephrotoxicity in rabbits, rats and dogs. It can penetrate the blood–brain barrier and severely affect neuronal signaling and cellular metabolism. The results of the subchronic study of adult male rats for 28 days showed that changes in kidney weight and morphological changes in the kidney and liver were evident. MA exposure increases the urinary concentrations of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine, 8-nitroguanine and 8-iso-prostaglandin F2α; levels of acetoacetate, hippurate, alanine and acetate demonstrated time- and dose-dependent variations in the treatment groups [2, 38]. The national marine public welfare industry scientific research special fund project, Research and Engineering Demonstration of Localization Technology of Seawater Desalination Water Treatment Agents (project no. 201505021), has carried out several studies on new domestically produced seawater desalination agents and has led to the development of four new seawater desalination agents, including three scale inhibitors and one defoamer. The project realized the large-scale production of new agents and formulated industrial standards. Based on this public welfare scientific research project, the present study conducted a toxicological investigation of one of the new scale inhibitors, a maleic acid polymer, to provide experimental data for human health and safety evaluation.

In China, the policy *Hygienic Safety Evaluation for Chemicals used in Drinking Water Treatment (GB/T 17218–1998)* requires toxicological evaluation of chemicals used in conventional water plants [24]. The evaluation methods require various assays, including genotoxicity testing and 90 day oral toxicity testing in rats. The toxicological data types and requirements for toxicity effect evaluation are described in NSF/ANSI 60-2016 Drinking Water Treatment Chemicals–Health Effects [31]. The toxicity evaluation must include an in vivo micronucleus test and a 90 day subchronic oral toxicity test in rats. In addition, acute or short-term toxicity tests may be conducted as a supplemental test. This study completed a toxicity investigation of the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor based on the requirements of these standards.

Maleic acid polymer is an alkaline, reddish-brown and slightly viscous low phosphorus scale inhibitor, which has good heat resistance and an excellent scale inhibition effect against $CaCO_3$, $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$ and $CaSO_4$. It has a synergistic effect with organic phosphonic acid and multivalent metal chelating agents, and it is suitable for high-, medium- and low-temperature water systems. Maleic acid–ethylene glycol ester–acrylic acid terpolymer is synthesized by reacting maleic acid, ethylene glycol ester and acrylic acid. The maleic acid copolymer can strongly chelate Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} and can be used as a high-temperature-resistant water quality stabilizer. Maleic acid copolymer has a good synergistic effect with other water treatment agents. Through its effective combination with other water treatment agents, the technical problem of unreliable scale inhibition can be overcome to ensure the desired water yield of desalination equipment [13]. The maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor was synthesized through copolymerization and compounding, and the production process was as follows: (1) Maleic anhydride was hydrolyzed to maleic acid at 90–105 °C; (2) The second monomer, sodium propylene sulfonate, the initiator, hydrogen peroxide (or sodium persulfate), and the catalyst, ferrous sulfate, were added to the maleic acid solution and polymerized at 90–105 °C to generate a maleic acid/sodium propylene sulfonate copolymer; (3) Hydroxyethylidenediphosphonic acid was added to the copolymer to synthesize the low-phosphorus polymer scale inhibitor. The reaction formula is as follows:



Materials and methods

Materials

Chemicals

Maleic Acid Polymer Scale Inhibitor were provided by Tianjin Institute of Seawater Desalination and Comprehensive Utilization of the State Oceanic Administration. Blood biochemical test kits (BioSino Bio-Technology & Science Inc.); mitomycin C (Sigma–Aldrich Cheme GmbH, batch number 028K1815); low melting point agarose (Trevigen); CHO cell culture medium, fetal bovine serum and pancreatic enzyme (Thermo Fisher); In vitro Micronucleus Test Kit (Litron Laboratories).

Equipments

Toshiba 120 Automatic Biochemical Analyzer (Japan); Sysmex XP-100 automated hematological analyzer (Sysmex, Kobe, Japan); Olympus BX43 optical microscope (Tokyo, Japan); LV320 Digital Acquisition Device; DYY-6C electrophoresis instrument (Beijing Liuyi Instrument Factory); Comet Assay IV (Perceptive Instruments Ltd, UK); Single cell gel electrophoresis slides (Trevigen); 6 μm fluorescent beads (Beckman Coulter); fluorescence microscope (Olympius BX41, Japan).

Animals

A total of 120 6-week-old specific pathogen-free Wistar rats weighing 180–200 g and 50 specific pathogen-free Kunming mice weighing 25–30 g, half male and half female, were obtained from the Experimental Animal Center of the Academy of Military Medical Sciences (Beijing, China). Forty of the Wistar rats were used for acute oral toxicity testing and 80 for subchronic toxicity testing. The 50 Kunming mice were used for the mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test. The animals were maintained in a temperature-controlled environment (23 ± 2 ℃) with a 12-h light/dark cycle and with free access to food and water. The Committee of Laboratory Animal Welfare and Ethical Review, Institute of Occupational Health and Poison Control, China CDC approved all of the animal procedures (No. EAWE-2018–003). All of the animal experiments complied with the ARRIVE guidelines and were carried out in accordance with European Union directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments.

Methods

Acute oral toxicity test

The acute oral toxicity test was based on Horn's method [(The registered toxicology test method for pesticides (State Food and Drug Administration of China, GB/T 15670.2-2017) & [33]] and was used to obtain the LD_{50} [19]. Four dose groups (1000, 2150, 4640 and 10,000 mg/kg·BW) were established based on Horn's pre-experiment method. The new scale inhibitor was prepared in distilled water. Five male and five female rats in each group were administered a one-time oral dose, which was calculated as 0.1 mL/100 g body weight. The dose used in the formal experiment was selected based on the pre-experiment results. The number of animals in each group and the administration method in the formal experiment were the same as in the pre-experiment. After dose administration, the general condition, poisoning symptoms and mortality of the animals were observed for 2 weeks.

Subchronic oral toxicity test

Animal treatment The experiment was based on the OECD guidelines for subchronic toxicity testing and pretest results (OECD No.408, [21]). The dose setting was based on the LD_{50} value obtained from the acute oral toxicity test. In the subchronic toxicity test, 1/5, 1/10 and 1/20 LD_{50} were set as the high, medium and low doses, respectively. Ten male and ten female rats in each group were exposed to maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor with a daily oral dose of 1 mL/100 g·BW for 90 days. Control rats were treated with the same amount of deionized

water. During the exposure period, the rats were evaluated daily for behavioral activities and signs of diarrhea, dehydration and deterioration of their physical condition. The weight and food consumption of the animals were recorded weekly.

After the exposure period, the rats were fasted overnight. Under anesthesia with pentobarbital sodium, blood samples were taken via the abdominal aorta, and the rats were then euthanized by dislocation. Many organs and tissues (e.g., liver, kidney and spleen) were quickly dissected and carefully examined for any abnormalities. The organs were weighed and fixed with 10% formalin solution for histopathological examination. The blood samples were used for routine blood testing, and the serum obtained by centrifugation was used to determine blood biochemical indicators.

Biochemical examination Blood biochemical indicators, including liver function-related indicators, renal function-related indicators and other important indicators, were assessed using a Toshiba 120 Automatic Biochemical Analyzer (Japan). The hepatic function-related indicators were alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total protein, albumin, globulin, total bilirubin (TBIL), direct bilirubin (DBIL), indirect bilirubin (IBIL) and alkaline phosphatase. The renal function-related indicators were urea nitrogen (UREA) and creatinine (CREA), and the other key indicators were blood glucose and total cholesterol. BioSino Bio-Technology and Science Inc. provided the blood biochemical test kits.

Hematology analysis A Sysmex XP-100 automated hematological analyzer (Sysmex, Kobe, Japan) was used for the hematology analysis, which included red blood cell series, white blood cell series, platelet series and other indicators (details are provided in the Supporting Information).

Histopathological examination The liver, spleen, kidney, stomach, duodenum, adrenal glands, bladder and testis/ovaries were removed from the euthanized rats and fixed in 4% neutral buffered formaldehyde. Thereafter, the organs and tissues were embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 μm, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The sections were examined under an Olympus BX43 optical microscope (Tokyo, Japan) by an experienced pathologist who was blinded to the sample identity. Images were acquired with an LV320 Digital Acquisition Device by an experienced pathologist.

Genotoxicity test

In vivo and in vitro genotoxicity tests were carried out using mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test and comet assay.

Mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test The micronucleus test of polychromatic erythrocytes in mouse bone marrow was conducted according to the OECD guidelines(OECD No.474, [20]). The new scale inhibitor was prepared in distilled water and divided into three dose groups: 1000, 2000 and 5000 mg/kg·BW. The dose of mitomycin C in the positive control group was 1.0 mg/kg·BW (mitomycin C was obtained from Sigma–Aldrich Cheme GmbH, batch number 028K1815). Distilled water was used as the negative control. There were 10 mice in each group, 5 male and 5 female. The new scale inhibitor groups and the distilled water control group were administered the dose orally twice in 30 h, while the positive control group (mitomycin C) was administered the dose intraperitoneally twice in 30 h. 6 h after the second dose, all animals were euthanized by decapitation. The sternal bone marrow was collected, smeared with calf serum dilution and stained with Giemsa. Under a light microscope, 2,000 polychromatic erythrocytes were counted for each animal, and the micronucleus rate was calculated as the percentage per thousand polychromatic erythrocytes containing micronuclei. The results were analyzed by the Poisson distribution with the U test.

Comet assay Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells were used for the in vitro comet assay. The doses used in the comet assay were 0, 1/16 IC_{50}, 1/4 IC_{50} and IC_{50}, with $K_2Cr_2O_7$ (1 μg/mL) as the positive control. After dosing, the cells were placed in a cell incubator for 3 h. The CHO cells were then washed with PBS, digested with trypsin, centrifuged, and the density of CHO cells was adjusted to 1×10^5 cells/mL with HBSS buffer. A 30 μL aliquot of cell suspension and 300 μL of low melting point agarose (LMA) were fully mixed (cell suspension: LMA = 1:10), and then 30 μL of the mixture was transferred to a glass slide and condensed. The prepared glass slide was immersed in a cold cracking solution in the dark at 4 °C for 1 h. The slide was then placed in fresh electrophoresis solution for 30 min and then subjected to electrophoresis for 1 h (20 °C, 25 V and 100 mA). After electrophoresis, the slide was rinsed with neutralization buffer, stained with SYBR Green I, and examined under a fluorescence microscope (Olympius BX41, Japan). One hundred cells in each dose group were randomly selected and analyzed with Comet Assay IV software.



Fig. 1 Changes in body weight and food consumption in male and female rats during 90 days of exposure to the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor. Body weight and food consumption were measured every 2 weeks. **A** Changes in body weight. **B** Changes in food consumption. All values are expressed as the mean ± SD per group ($n = 20$, 10 male and 10 female). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess normality, and Bartlett's test was used to assess homogeneity of variance. Dunnett's test was used in the case of homoscedasticity and a normal distribution, and the Wilcoxon rank–sum test was used in the case of heteroscedasticity or a skewed distribution for statistical analysis comparing with the control group. Significance was determined at $p < 0.001$***, $p < 0.01$** and $p < 0.05$*

The Tail DNA content (Tail DNA%) and Tail Moment (TM) were, respectively, selected as single and composite indicators to evaluate the extent of DNA damage.

Statistics R was used to analyze the experimental data. The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess the distribution normality, and Bartlett's test was used to assess the homogeneity of variance. Dunnett's test was conducted if the data showed homoscedasticity and a normal distribution, and the Wilcoxon rank–sum test was used for pairwise comparison in the case of heteroscedasticity or a skewed distribution. In all groups, significant differences were identified at $p < 0.05$.



Fig. 2 Changes in blood biochemical parameters of rats exposed for 90 days to different doses of the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor. **A** Changes in AST and TBIL, related to liver function. **B** Changes in UREA and CREA, related to kidney function. All values are expressed as the mean ± SD per group ($n = 20$, 10 male and 10 female). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess normality, and Bartlett's test was used to assess homogeneity of variance. Dunnett's test was used in the case of homoscedasticity and a normal distribution, and the Wilcoxon rank–sum test was used in the case of heteroscedasticity or a skewed distribution for statistical analysis comparing with the control group. Significance was determined at $p < 0.001$***, $p < 0.01$** and $p < 0.05$*

Results

Acute oral toxicity test

The LD_{50} of the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor was obtained from the rat acute oral toxicity test using Horn's method, and the results are shown in Additional file 1: Table S1. After dosing, the animals in the high-dose group showed intoxication symptoms, such as excitement. According to Horn's method [19], the oral LD_{50} of the new scale inhibitor was 6810 mg/kg·BW for male rats and 9260 mg/kg·BW for female rats. The 95% confidence limit was 6360–13,500 mg/kg·BW.

Based on the LD_{50} results, the subchronic toxicity test doses were 1/5, 1/10 and 1/20 LD_{50} for the high-, medium- and low-dose groups and were 1362, 681 and 340.5 mg/kg·BW for male rats and 1852, 926 and 463 mg/kg·BW for female rats, respectively.

Subchronic oral toxicity test

Changes in body weight and food consumption of rats during the subchronic toxicity test

The weight and food consumption of rats were measured once per week during the 90 day exposure period. There was no significant effect on weight gain in female rats exposed to the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor. However, male rats in the high-dose group had a significantly lower weight than those in the control group throughout the exposure period ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 1A and Additional file 1: Table S2).The food consumption of the female and male rats in the high-dose group decreased during the first 4 weeks of exposure (Fig. 1B). Compared with the control group, at 1 week and 4 weeks, male rats in the high-dose group had significantly lower food consumption ($p_{\_week1} = 0.0261$, ($p_{\_week4} = 0.0222$). After 4 weeks of exposure, there were no significant differences in food consumption.

Blood biochemical indexes

Among the indexes related to liver function, the AST value of male rats in the high-dose group was significantly lower than that of the control group ($p < 0.001$). For female rats, the AST values in each dose group were significantly lower form those in the control group ($p_{\_low} = 0.00168$, $p_{\_medium} = 0.00032$, $p_{\_high} = 0.00147$). The TBIL values of male rats in the medium- and high-dose groups were significantly lower than in the control group ($p_{\_medium} = 0.0065$, $p_{\_high} = 0.0058$). The TBIL value of female rats in the high-dose group was also lower than that in the control group ($p = 0.014$) (Fig. 2A and Additional file 1: Table S3).

Among the indexes related to renal function, the UREA values of female and male rats showed dose-dependent increases. The UREA values of female and



Fig. 3 Changes in blood electrolytes in rats exposed for 90 days to different doses of the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor. **A** Changes in Na and Cl. **B** Changes in Ca and P. All values are expressed as the mean ± SD per group ($n = 20$, 10 male and 10 female). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess normality, and Bartlett's test was used to assess homogeneity of variance. Dunnett's test was used in the case of homoscedasticity and a normal distribution, and the Wilcoxon rank–sum test was used in the case of heteroscedasticity or a skewed distribution for statistical analysis comparing with the control group. Significance was determined at $p < 0.001$***, $p < 0.01$** and $p < 0.05$*

male rats in the medium- and high-dose groups were significantly different from those in the control group ($p_{\_female\ medium} = 0.0047$, $p_{\_female\ high} = 0.00037$; $p_{\_male\ medium} = 0.0026$, $p_{\_male\ medium} < 0.001$). The CREA value in the high-dose males was significantly lower than that in the control group ($p = 0.00081$). In females, there were no significant differences between the dose groups and the control group (Fig. 2B and Additional file 1: Table S3).

Regarding the tested blood electrolytes, after long-term exposure to the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor, there were no significant differences in blood K levels between any of the dose groups and the control group. The blood Ca levels of high-dose female rats ($p = 0.0067$) and each group of male rats ($p_{\_low} = 0.0099$, $p_{\_medium} = 0.00047$, $p_{\_high} = 0.00017$) were significantly higher than that of the control group. The blood P levels in the medium- and high-dose male rats were significantly higher than in the control group ($p_{\_medium} = 0.0036$, $p_{\_high} = 0.0011$). The blood P level in the female low-dose group was lower than in the control group ($p < 0.001$), while in the high-dose group, it was higher than in the control group ($p = 0.0207$). The blood Cl level in male rats was not significantly different from the control in any of the dose groups, while the high-dose female group had a lower Cl level than the control group ($p = 0.0105$). The blood Na levels of female rats in all dose groups were lower than in the control group ($p_{\_low} = 0.000462$, $p_{\_medium,}$ $p_{\_high} < 1 \times 10^4$), while the blood Na level of male rats in the high-dose group was slightly lower than in the control group ($p = 0.0164$) (Fig. 3 and Additional file 1: Table S3).

Hematology analysis results

Immune-related blood cells were examined in the hematological analysis of rats exposed to the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor. White blood cell (WBC), lymphocyte (Lymph), mid cell count (Mid), granulocyte and percent of granulocyte (Gran and Gran%) of males in the high-dose group were higher than those in the control group, but Lymph% was lower than in the control group ($p_{\_WBC} = 0.0017$, $p_{\_Lymph} = 0.0017$, $p_{\_Mid} = 0.033$, $p_{\_Gran} = 0.0017$, $p_{\_Gran\%} = 0.0019$ and $p_{\_Lymph\%} = 0.0246$). There were higher red blood cell counts in the all treated group rats than in the control group, and there was a significant difference between the medium-dose group and control group ($p = 0.0325$). Hematocrit values in the medium- and high-dose male groups were higher than in the control group ($p_{\_medium} = 0.0113$, $p_{\_high} = 0.0154$). The mean corpuscular volume in the high-dose male group was significantly higher than that in the control group ($p < 0.001$), while the mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration in the high-dose group was lower than that in the control group ($p = 0.0096$). The number of platelets and the plateletcrit value in the medium- and high-dose male groups were lower than those in the control group, and there was a significant difference between the high-dose group and control group for both values ($p < 0.05$). There was also a dose-dependent decreasing trend among the dose groups (Additional file 1: Table S4).

In female rats, the hematological results showed that the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor had no significant effect on blood cells after 90 days of exposure. There were significant differences between the low-dose group and the control group for Mid, Lymph%, Mid% and Gran% ($p_{\_Mid} = 0.0433$, $p_{\_Lymph\%} = 0.0231$ $p_{\_Mid\%} = 0.029$, $p_{\_Gran\%} = 0.0495$), but there were no significant differences in these values between the other dose groups and the control group (Additional file 1: Table S4).

Histopathology

The liver, spleen, kidneys, stomach, duodenum, adrenal glands, bladder, testicles/ovaries and other organs were pathologically examined. Two female rats in the control group had pathological changes in the liver, spleen and kidney, separately, and one female rats in high-dose group had pathological changes in the spleen and kidney, separately. One male rat in the control group had pathological changes in the liver, and in the high-dose group, two male rats had pathological changes in the liver, spleen and kidney, separately. No organ changes were detected in other male/female dose groups. The pathological changes observed in the control and high-dose groups mainly manifested as steatosis of the liver cells with infiltration of mononuclear cells, glomerular

Table 1 Histopathology results of rats exposed to maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor

Organ	Pathological Change	Female(n = 10)				Male(n = 10)			
		Control	Low	Medium	High	Control	Low	Medium	High
Liver	Mononuclear cell infiltration	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Hepatocyte hypertrophy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Spleen	Hemosiderin deposition	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Kidney	Mesangial hyperplasia	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

Values indicate the number of rats with pathological changes in the liver, spleen and kidney in the control and dosed groups. There were no pathological changes in the low- and medium-dose groups, and no pathological changes in other organs



Fig. 4 Typical pathology of important organs in the histopathological analysis of rats exposed to high-dose maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor (1/5 LD_{50}) for 90 days ($n = 5$). Mononuclear cell infiltration and hepatocyte hypertrophy in the liver, mesangial hyperplasia in the kidney, and hemosiderin deposition in the spleen are shown by the arrows. After HE staining, liver and spleen tissues were observed at 400 × and kidney tissue was observed at 200 × under an optical microscope

mesangial hyperplasia, and deposition of hemosiderin in the spleen (Table 1). These pathological changes were observed in the exposure group and the control group upon euthanasia of the animals and were present in small amounts. Furthermore, there were no significant differences within the groups; thus, it is thought that these were spontaneous changes in the animals that were unrelated to the new scale inhibitor. Typical pathological changes are shown in Fig. 4.

Genotoxicity test

Mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test

A micronucleus test of polychromatic erythrocytes was conducted using mouse bone marrow. A total of 2,000 polychromatic erythrocytes were counted for each animal, and the micronucleus rate was calculated from the percentage of each 1,000 polychromatic erythrocytes containing a micronucleus. The experimental results

Table 2 Micronucleus test results of mouse bone marrow polychromatic erythrocytes

Groups	Dose mg/kg·BW	Female (n = 5)				Male (n = 5)			
		Total PCEs	PCEs with micronucleus	Micronucleus rate (‰)	*p*	Total PCEs	PCEs with micronucleus	Micronucleus rate (‰)	*p*
Maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor	1000	10,000	14	1.40 ± 0.84	> 0.05	10,000	9	0.90 ± 0.84	> 0.05
	2000	10,000	29	2.90 ± 1.30	> 0.05	10,000	13	1.30 ± 0.89	> 0.05
	5000	10,000	28	2.80 ± 1.14	> 0.05	10,000	15	1.50 ± 1.41	> 0.05
Negative control	—	10,000	14	1.40 ± 1.30	> 0.05	10,000	14	1.40 ± 1.10	> 0.05
Positive control (Mitomycin C)	1.0	10,000	185	18.5 ± 9.70	< 0.01	10,000	175	17.5 ± 12.61	< 0.01

There was no teratogenic effect in mice exposed to different doses of maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor. 2000 polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs) were counted for each animal, and the micronucleus rate was calculated as the percentage of polychromatic red blood cells containing micronuclei per thousand. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD per group ($n = 10$, 5 males and 5 females). The *U* test was applied to the experimental results according to the Poisson distribution

were analyzed by the U test according to the Poisson distribution. The micronucleus rate of polychromatic erythrocytes in mouse bone marrow in each dose group was not significantly different than that of the negative control group ($p > 0.05$). There was a significant difference between the positive control group (mitomycin C) and the negative control group ($p < 0.01$), indicating that there was no distortion effect on polychromatic erythrocytes in the mouse bone marrow (Table 2).

Comet assay

The cytotoxicity pretest results showed that the IC_{50} of the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor in CHO cells was 1.347 mg/mL [32]. The doses used in the comet assay were 0, 1/16 IC_{50}, 1/4 IC_{50} and IC_{50}. $K_2Cr_2O_7$ (1 μg/mL) was used as the positive control. The DNA in the tail (%) and the tail moment were used to assess DNA damage. There was no significant difference in the tail DNA content between the 1.347 mg/mL and 0.337 mg/mL dose groups (Table 3).

Discussion

Seawater desalination treatment agents are indispensable in the desalination process. However, few studies have investigated the biological toxicity of the chemical monomers used in these agents, and there is a particular lack of systematic toxicity studies. This toxicity study of a new domestically produced seawater desalination treatment agent provides a basis for risk assessment and its safe use.

The maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor examined in this study is a macromolecular polymer polymerized by maleic anhydride, sodium allylsulfonate, hydroxyethylidene diphosphate (HEDP) and acrylic acid. Maleic anhydride was found to be a skin irritant and corrosive to the eyes in New Zealand rabbits. An acute toxicity study found an oral LD_{50} of 400 mg/kg·BW in rats and 465 mg/kg·BW in mice [6, 16]. In a 90 day feeding trial, 100 mg/kg·BW exposure to maleic anhydride resulted in kidney damage, and the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) was found to be 40 mg/kg·BW. Respiratory exposure increased the number of peripheral white blood cells, slowed weight gain and reduced the phagocytic activity of neutrophils. No carcinogenic or teratogenic effects were observed [6]. Based on the results of experiments in which rats were fed with maleic anhydride for 2 years, the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) listed it as a noncarcinogen [1]. Sodium allylsulfonate is irritating to the eyes, respiratory tract and skin, and it was found to cause peripheral nerve injury in an occupational population [12]. HEDP is a low-toxicity chemical and is a commonly used water treatment agent [9, 23]. The LD_{50} values of HEDP for mice and rats are 2.05 and 1.69 g/kg, respectively. The results of a 6 month rat feeding test showed that rats exposed to 160 and 400 mg/kg·BW HEDP had bone and tooth growth retardation or stagnation and significantly increased urinary calcium excretion; furthermore, rickets-like bone, tooth and tissue decalcification were observed in the histopathology and X-ray examination. There were no apparent toxicity-related effects observed in rats exposed to low-dose HEDP (40 mg/kg·BW and below) [3]. Acrylic acid has low to moderate oral toxicity, and the oral LD_{50} in rats is 33.5–2500 mg/kg·BW [35]. The results of a subchronic toxicity study showed that feeding rats with 150 and 375 mg/kg·BW acrylic acid for 90 days resulted in gastrointestinal swelling with dyspnea, and rats in both groups died after prolonged feeding [5]. The ACGIH lists acrylic acid as a noncarcinogen (ACGIH, 2002). In a European Chemical Administration study on the genotoxicity of acrylic acid, rats were administered 0, 100, 333 or 1000 mg/kg·BW acrylic acid by gavage, and peripheral blood was collected 6, 12 and 24 h later to test chromosome aberrations in bone marrow cells. The results showed that the mitotic

Table 3 Comet assay results of CHO cells exposed to maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor with 1/16 IC50, 1/4 IC50 and IC50 (0.084, 0.337 and 1.347 mg/mL, respectively)

Groups	Dose (mg/mL)	DNA in tail (%)	Tail moment
Maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor			
1/16 IC_{50}	0.084	4.99 ± 0.37	2.35 ± 0.10**
1/4 IC_{50}	0.337	14.64 ± 1.14***	4.45 ± 0.39***
IC_{50}	1.347	25.11 ± 1.47***	9.14 ± 0.63***
Negative control			
Culture medium	–	5.27 ± 0.55	1.42 ± 0.16
Positive control			
$K_2Cr_2O_7$	1 μg/mL	21.35 ± 1.01***	6.56 ± 0.33***

Typical Images. Typical examples of comet images of CHO cells in negative and positive control groups and treatment groups



The culture medium and $K_2Cr_2O_7$ were negative and positive controls, respectively. Use a fluorescent microscope with a photographic system (eyepiece 10 ×, Objective lens 20 ×, Green light excitation absorption filter 590 nm) to obtain the images of comet cells, as shown in the "Typical Images". 100 random cells in each group were analyzed using Comet Assay IV™ software. All values are expressed as the mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA was used in the case of homoscedasticity, and the Brown–Forsythe test or Welch's test was used in the case of heteroscedasticity for statistical analysis comparing with the control group. Significance was determined at $p < 0.001$*** and $p < 0.01$**

activity of the rat bone marrow cells was not affected [5]. This study investigated the toxicity of a maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor. The LD_{50} in rats was obtained by conducting an acute oral toxicity test. The oral LD_{50} in male rats was 6810 mg/kg·BW, and in female rats was 9260 mg/kg·BW. The acute oral toxicity of the maleic acid polymer found in this study was significantly lower than that of the monomers found in previous studies.

The effects on rat liver and kidney were observed in a subchronic toxicity test. The liver function results showed that the AST values of the male and female rats were lower than those of the corresponding control groups. AST is an important clinical diagnostic indicator of liver function; in general, an increased AST indicates liver injury, but a decreased AST is not clinically significant [34]. In this study, the AST values of all rats in each group were within the normal range of Wistar rats [7, 29]. Taking into account the food consumption and body weight change results, the AST change seen in the rats may have been owing to the rats' diet, nutrition and metabolism. Therefore, the AST results indicate that the new scale inhibitor did not negatively impact the liver function of rats [37].

The UREA values of the rats in all dose groups showed a dose-dependent increase. Compared with the corresponding control group, there was no significant difference in the UREA values of the male and female rats in the low-dose group; however, the medium- and high-dose groups were significantly different from the controls. In the results of the two main metabolic organs, kidney and liver, we found that the kidney is more sensitive at the same concentration. Therefore, the kidney can be considered as the effective target organ of maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor. The results of UREA were in line with the principles of the NOAEL and lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) (OECD No.408, [21]), which is used for our nephrotoxicity evaluation, showing a relatively sensitive and significant dose–response relationship. Therefore, UREA is used as the derivation basis of NOAEL and LOAEL in this study. Thus, based on UREA results, the NOAEL and LOAEL values were 1/20 LD_{50} and 1/10 LD_{50}, respectively, that is, they were 340.5 and 681 mg/kg·BW for male rats and 436 and 926 mg/kg·BW for female rats.

We also found that the TBIL values of female rats in the high-dose group and of male rats in the medium- and high-dose groups were significantly lower than those of the control group. TBIL is a liver metabolite. It is an indirect bilirubin that is produced after the destruction of red blood cells; it enters the liver and is metabolized into direct bilirubin [34]. TBIL is the sum of DBIL and IBIL. The DBIL and IBIL results indicated that the main reason for the decrease in TBIL was the decrease in IBIL. In clinical diagnosis, a decreased IBIL is often attributed to physiological reasons, such as diet, anemia or other hepatobiliary diseases, but it should be judged in combination with other indicators. In this study, there was no obvious abnormality in the other hepatobiliary-related indicators. In male rats, the red blood cell analyses with obvious differences in the hematological parameters showed a dose-dependent upward trend. However, there were no obvious hematological changes in the female rats. TBIL and IBIL changed but were within the normal bilirubin range for Wistar rats. Therefore, the changes in bilirubin may have been caused by the diet of the rats. Considering the AST, food consumption and body weight results, we believe that the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor had no significant effect on the liver function of rats. However, the subchronic experimental conditions such as the experimental duration and the diet may have affected nutrient absorption, leading to malnutrition in the rats.

We also analyzed changes in the main blood electrolytes. The blood Na levels were decreased, especially in female rats. Changes in blood electrolytes can be used to indicate the presence of disease. The decrease in blood Na may have been related to renal failure [34], which is consistent with the UREA results. The decreased blood Na may have also been related to gastrointestinal dysfunction. In this study, the blood Cl level in the high-dose female rats was also significantly decreased, which may also have been related to gastrointestinal dysfunction and innutrition [34]. There were also clear changes in the blood Ca and P levels in the medium-dose and high-dose groups, showing an upward dose-dependent trend. The excessive consumption of vitamin D can promote Ca and P absorption in the small intestine, resulting in increased blood Ca and P [34]. Taken together, the AST and blood electrolyte results suggest that long-term feeding with the macromolecular polymer may have caused gastrointestinal dysfunction in the rats.

In the hematological analysis, for female rats, only immune indicators were changed in the high-dose group, while the medium- and high-dose male rats showed changes in red blood cells, platelets and immune indicators. However, these changes were within the normal range of hematological indicators in Wistar rats of the same age, [7, 29], therefore, it was considered that there was no health impact.

To assess genotoxicity, both in vitro and in vivo assays were conducted in this study. After exposing the rats to the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor, no chromosome aberrations in mouse bone marrow cells were observed. In contrast, the comet assay results showed that medium and high doses may have caused DNA damage in CHO cells. The in vivo metabolic system and the different genotoxicity testing methods can affect the determination

of genotoxicity. Therefore, when evaluating safety, a combination of tests should be adopted to evaluate genotoxicity.

In addition to the two genotoxicity experiments, as part of the pre-experiment process, an Ames test was carried out in accordance with OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals (OECD No.471, [22]). In the Ames test, countable revertant colonies were found in a dish exposed to 20 μg of the original solution of maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor, and the colony count was in the abnormal range. Repeated experiments showed background bacteria. After a tenfold dilution, background bacteria still appeared in all dishes with revertant colonies, and the counts were in the abnormal range. The Ames test results were normal for spontaneous regression, the solvent control and the positive control group. However, the Ames test might not be suitable for assessing the genotoxicity of the new scale inhibitor because of the complexity of the macromolecular components of the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor. Therefore, this part of the experiment is not described in detail in this paper. The genotoxicity assessment of seawater desalination treatment agents needs to consider the macromolecular structure and sample character. Appropriate research methods should to be further explored to find more suitable genotoxicity assays.

Conclusions

This study explore the acute toxicity, subchronic toxicity and genotoxicity of a new domestically produced maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor based on OECD guidelines (No. 408, No. 474). The oral LD_{50} and the NOAEL (oral, 90 days) and LOAEL (oral, 90 days) were obtained. The LD_{50} were 6810 and 9260 mg/kg·BW for male and female rats. Based on UREA results, the NOAEL (oral, 90 days) and LOAEL (oral, 90 days) were 1/20 LD_{50} and 1/10 LD_{50}, respectively (males: 340.5 and 681 mg/kg·BW, females: 436 and 926 mg/kg·BW). According to the results of comet assay, we judged that maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor may have potential genotoxicity in vitro. These data can be used as a basis for determining the separation point in subsequent safety evaluations and provide a research basis for the health risk assessment and the supervision of seawater desalination agents.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-023-00722-7.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Rat deaths in the maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor oral acute toxicity test after single oral gavage. **Table S2.** Body weight changes of rats exposed to different doses of maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor for 90 days. **Table S3.** Biochemical changes in the blood of rats exposed to different doses of maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor for 90 days. **Table S4.** Hematologic changes in the blood of rats exposed to different doses of maleic acid polymer scale inhibitor for 90 days. **Figure S1.** Typical pathology of important organs in the histopathology.

Author contributions

SZ, LZ and JK contributed to conceptualization; CW, YL and MW contributed to data curation; LD, LK and YL were involved in funding acquisition; HY and LW performed investigation; WG and ST were involved in methodology; CW performed project administration; ST and CW was involved in supervision; LD contributed to visualization and wrote the original draft; LD and CW wrote the review and editing. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by the Research of the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant No.21806157 and National Key Research and Development Program No. 2019YFC1803401-001 to Dr. Duan, and Ocean Public Welfare Research Projects No.201505021-4 from National Oceanic Administration to Dr. Lu.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Committee of Laboratory Animal Welfare and Ethical Review (No. EAWE-2018–003).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Author details

[1]China CDC Key Laboratory of Environment and Population Health, National Institute of Environmental Health, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, No. 7 Panjiayuan Nanli, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100021, China. [2]Qingdao Municipal Health Commission Integrated Supervision Enforcement Bureau, Qingdao 266000, China. [3]Rural Water Improvement Technical Guidance Center, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 13 Government St, Changping District, Beijing 102200, China.

Received: 3 January 2023 Accepted: 21 February 2023
Published online: 09 March 2023

References

1. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (2002) Threshold limit values for chemical substances and physical agents and biological exposure indices. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists. https://hero.epa.gov/hero/index.cfm/reference/details/reference_id/192015
2. Wu C, Chen CH, Chen HC, Liang HJ, Chen ST, Lin WY, Wu KY, Chiang SY, Lin CY (2017) Nuclear magnetic resonance-and mass spectrometry-based metabolomics to study maleic acid toxicity from repeated dose exposure in rats. J Appl Toxicol 37(12):1493–1506. https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.3500
3. Chen J, Yang MD (1983) Toxicity assessment of the non-cyanideelectroplating complexing agent:1-hydroxy-ethylidene-1,1-disodiumphosphonate (HEDP). Fudan Univ J Med Sci 6: 447–452+492.
4. Cheng W, Gao X, Zhang Y, Chen C (2015) Current study on polyphosphates in drinking water. Chin J Health Insp 12(6):417–419
5. European Chemicals Bureau (2002) Risk assessment for acrylic acid(CAS No 79-10-7) final report. https://echa.europa.eu/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.001.071
6. European Chemicals Bureau (2016) IUCLID dataset, maleic anhydride(108-31-6). https://echa.europa.eu/substance-information/-/substanceinfo/100.003.247

7. Fan J, Qin J, Liu CX, Tang XQ, Tian H, Liu Y, Sun FZ, Fan BL (2010) Establishment of normal reference range of blood hematological and biochemical indicators of SPF wistar rats. J Public Health Prev Med 21(6):50–52
8. Feria-Diaz JJ, Correa-Mahecha F, Lopez-Mendez MC, Rodriguez-Miranda JP, Barrera-Rojas J (2021) Recent desalination technologies by hybridization and integration with reverse osmosis: a review. Water. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13101369
9. Franco JP, Ribeiro J (2020) 1-Hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonic Acid (HEDP) as a corrosion inhibitor of AISI 304 stainless steel in a medium containing chloride and sulfide ions in the presence of different metallic cations. Adv Chem Eng Sci 10(03):225–257. https://doi.org/10.4236/aces.2020.103017
10. General Office of Shandong Provincial People's Government (2020) Suggestions on accelerating the development of seawater desalination and comprehensive utilization industry. General Office of Shandong Provincial People's Government of China. http://www.shandong.gov.cn/art/2020/8/17/art_107861_108357.html
11. General Office of the State Council of the People's Republic of China (2012) Opinions of General Office of the State Council of the People's Republic of China on accelerating the development of seawater desalination industry. Bull State Council People's Republic of China 5:57–59
12. He FS, Zhang SL (1985) Effects of allyl chloride on occupationally exposed subjects. Scand J Work Environ Health 11(4):43–45
13. Jia LT, Li ZP, Liu XM, Zhang YY, Dong SY (2019) The invention relates to a scale inhibitor for low temperature pleiotropic seawater desalination and a preparation method thereof (Patent No. CN201811625744.7). https://wenku.baidu.com/view/19a52bfc4631b90d6c85ec3a87c24028905f85fc?fr=xueshu
14. Jiao CL, Hou XY, Shen C, Xu X, Tao R, Yang SX, Yin JH (2021) Technical progress and market analysis of scale inhibitors for seawater desalination. J Salt Sci Chem Ind 50(10): 1–4+8. https://doi.org/10.16570/j.cnki.issn1673-6850.2021.10.001
15. McCarthy KL, Thomas WC, Aardema MJ, Seymour JL, Putman DL, Yang LL, Curren RD, Valencia R (1992) Genetic toxicology of acrylic acid. Food Chem Toxicol Int J Publ Br Ind Biol Res Assoc. https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-6915(92)90102-q
16. Lewis RJ (2004) Sax's dangerous properties of industrial materials, 11th edn. Wiley
17. Lu Z (2000) The current situation development of water treatment agents and suggestion. Water Purif Technol 1:4–8. https://doi.org/10.15890/j.cnki.jsjs.2000.01.002
18. National Development and Reform Commission and The Ministry of Natural Resources (2021) The action plan for the development of seawater desalination utilization (2021–2025) (No.711). https://www.ndrc.gov.cn/xxgk/zcfb/ghwb/202106/t20210602_1282452.html?code=&state=1232021/06/02.
19. National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China (2014) Acute oral toxicity test (GB 15193.3-2014).
20. OECD (2016) Test No. 474: Mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-474-mammalian-erythrocyte-micronucleus-test_9789264264762-en
21. OECD (2018) Test No. 408: repeated dose 90-day oral toxicity study in rodents. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-408-repeated-dose-90-day-oral-toxicity-study-in-rodents_9789264070707-en
22. OECD (2020) Test No. 471: bacterial reverse mutation test. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-471-bacterial-reverse-mutation-test_9789264071247-en
23. Qingdao University of Science and Technology (2005) A new water quality stabilizer—Hydroxyethyl diphosphate (HEDP).
24. State Administration for Market Regulation and Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China (1998) Hygienic safety evaluation for chemicals used in drinking water treatment (GB/T 17218-1998). https://openstd.samr.gov.cn/bzgk/gb/newGbInfo?hcno=51734E46F121A69F9AF861A12C06D304
25. State Administration for Market Regulation & Standardization Administration (2020a) Design guidelines for multiple effect distillation seawater desalination system(GB/T 39222-2020). Standardization Administration. https://openstd.samr.gov.cn/bzgk/gb/newGbInfo?hcno=BD4119653EA27FD56FE414EECA6DF772
26. State Administration for Market Regulation & Standardization Administration (2020b) Design guides for post-treatment of desalinated seawater(GB/T 39219-2020). Standardization Administration. https://openstd.samr.gov.cn/bzgk/gb/newGbInfo?hcno=4F725653CFE7B5E3634FFB63A279511A
27. State Administration for Market Regulation & Standardization Administration (2020c) Determination for performance of scale inhibitors for reverse osmosis seawater desalination—recurrent condensation cycle test method(GB/T 39221-2020). Standardization Administration. https://openstd.samr.gov.cn/bzgk/gb/newGbInfo?hcno=B6DC6469B09E32D1C9750A7605D2C5B7
28. State Administration for Market Regulation & Standardization Administration (2020d) Utilization of desalinated seawater—water quality guidelines for industrial uses(GB/T 39481-2020) . Standardization Administration. https://openstd.samr.gov.cn/bzgk/gb/newGbInfo?hcno=E3D99200C5953F35BB9ED6DB7F90BC34
29. Tajima Y (1989) Biological characteristics of experimental animals. Sino-Japanese Government Technical Cooperation Project, China Laboratory Animal Talent Training Center.
30. Tomonaga T, Nishida C, Izumi H, Kawai N, Wang K-Y, Higashi H, Takeshita J-I, Ono R, Sumiya K, Fujii S, Hata Y, Sakurai K, Morimoto T, Higashi Y, Yamasaki K, Yatera K, Morimoto Y (2022) Crosslinked structure of polyacrylic acid affects pulmonary fibrogenicity in rats. Int J Mol Sci 23(22):13870. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms232213870
31. U.S.A National Sanitation Foundation (2016) Drinking water treatment chemicals—health effects (NSF 60-2016). U.S.A National Sanitation Foundation. https://www.nssi.org.cn/nssi/front/106436703.html
32. Wang LY, Duan L, Zhao KF, Gu W, Li YM (2018) Real-time dynamic monitoring of cytotoxicity in scale inhibitor-treated CHO cells. J Toxicol 32(2):131–134. https://doi.org/10.16421/j.cnki.1002-3127.2018.02.010
33. Wang LX (2019) Comparative evaluation of Horn's method and sequential method in acute oral toxicity test in the new national standard. Agrochemicals 4:288–290
34. Wang XH, Lu XF (2013) Diagnostics. People's Medical Publishing House
35. WHO (1997) Environmental health criteria 191: acrylic acid. https://inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc191.htm
36. Wu YF, Xu X (2020) Research and engineering demonstration on domestic technology of seawater desalinating water treatment reagent. Manage Res Sci Tech Achiev. https://doi.org/10.3772/j.issn.1673-6516.2020.01.024
37. Yang CM, Zhang XR (2022) Effect of Jiangzhi formula in regulating blood lipid level, preventing atherosclerosis and hepatic trans-aminases. Tradit Chin Med Res 9:74–78
38. Lin YC, Wang CC, Tung CW (2014) An in silico toxicogenomics approach for inferring potential diseases associated with maleic acid. Chem-Biol Interact. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2014.09.004

Publisher's Note